

Progress Update on Recommendations

Panel Recommendations	Progress Update Blue – Panel response Black – PCC response	Committee Assessment of Progress
<p>Unauthorised encampments</p> <p>That the PCC provides reassurance that the application of the unauthorised encampment policy and the interpretation of legislation are being consistently applied by liaising with Local Area Commanders across the Force and Local Authorities across the Thames Valley.</p> <p>Actions include :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Chief Superintendent commented that he was very happy to work with Authorities across the Thames Valley to work towards a more consistent approach and policy.• Chief Superintendent reported that the Force had recently undertaken a significant piece of work on signposting homeless people to appropriate help such as the local housing authority. He would send a copy of this policy to the Scrutiny Officer to circulate to the Panel.• The PCC referred to the recent suggestion from the Chief Superintendent, that he work with relevant Local Authority contacts across the Thames Valley to ensure that policies for unauthorised encampments were consistent, where possible.	<p>A meeting was arranged but had to be cancelled at short notice and has not yet been rearranged.</p>	

<p>This could cover, for example, that any decision making in relation to unauthorised encampments should be undertaken at Local Area Commander level.</p>		
<p>Collaboration To liaise with the Hampshire Police and Crime Panel Chairman to look at scrutinising the decisions and actions of the two respective PCCs in respect of collaboration between both Force areas and to identify areas of mutual interest that could benefit from cross panel working.</p> <p>Action In terms of how outcomes were assessed the Chief Constable reported that the performance framework was a 'Restricted' document and could not therefore be circulated, as it included information on recent cases including significant seizures of cash and drugs and cyber crime investigations. He would produce information for the Panel which can be shown in the public domain on what outcomes had been achieved.</p>	<p>Following a Panel officer network meeting with Hampshire, Surrey, Sussex and Kent it was suggested it would be helpful to draft 5 five key questions to put to each PCC at approximately the same time. It has been suggested that this is undertaken in September.</p>	
<p>Roads Policing That a Working Group be set up to look at roads policing and that requests for information on this area be sent to the Deputy Chief Constable. Areas for consideration could include:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More transparent documentation on their strategy on roads policing • Consideration of a business case for average speed cameras 	<p>A request for information has been made and there are discussions about the format of the Working Group.</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved dialogue between police and local authorities on the siting and decommissioning of speed cameras and the need for a Deployment Strategy Consideration of developing a Thames Valley wide partnership to ensure better co-ordination of information across the Thames Valley 		
<p>Taxi Licensing – Follow up from previous year</p> <p>1. That the PCC/Chief Constable be asked to consider looking at Council funding / part-funding a dedicated Police Taxi Licensing Officer specifically to ensure prompt information sharing about incidents, drivers, arrests, charges, convictions – so that Police Licensing becomes the central point for information sharing.</p>	<p>An event was held in May where the Deputy PCC confirmed that a Single Point of Contact Post would be funded by them for a year and that Local Authorities would be expected to fund this thereafter.</p> <p>A Local Authority Working Group is being set up to discuss the possibility of standardising policies and whether a regional database is required (as a national register is being produced)</p>	
<p>Cyber Crime – Follow up from previous year</p> <p>To consider whether a Working Group should be set up to look at this area in more detail.</p>	<p>A cyber crime event was held in March and a further meeting with CSPs is being held in June to use information obtained at the event to feed into a Thames Valley wide action plan to ensure that there is a common approach to cyber crime.</p>	
<p>Female Genital Mutilation</p> <p>Update on this would be helpful for Preventing CSE Sub Committee in Autumn</p>		
<p>Preventing CSE Sub Committee</p> <p>Updated at last Panel meeting – although would be helpful to clarify the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When will the MASH review take place? Perpetrator profiling – what was the Chief Constable 		

<p>response to this ?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Has the PCC written to the Dof E on language schools?• Update on Hotel and Night watch		
<p>Complaints Sub-Committee For the PCC to comment on whether he has made small amendments to the process in terms of personalisation and IT</p>		